

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JAIME ABONCE

CASE NO. C 08-01767 JF

Plaintiff(s),

v.  
BRIDGESTONE/FIRESTONE, INC.

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS**

Defendant(s).

---

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

**Court Processes:**

- Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

*(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)*

**Private Process:**

Private ADR (please identify process and provider) The parties have elected to  
proceed with private mediation using a neutral from JAMS or Mediation Masters.

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

the presumptive deadline (*The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.*)

other requested deadline An ADR return date is requested after discovery is completed

Dated: July 14, 2008

Nial Bern  
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: July 14, 2008

David M. A.  
Attorney for Defendant

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

- Non-binding Arbitration
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- Mediation
- Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

- 90 days from the date of this order.
- other July 13, 2009

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/21/08



UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

US District Court Judge Jeremy Fogel